

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. SACV 14-00380-CJC(RNBx)

Date July 13, 2014

Title Sheila Grantham v. Learning Care Group, Inc., et al

Present: The CORMAC J. CARNEY, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
Honorable

<u>Michelle Urie</u>	<u>Not Reported</u>	
<u>Deputy Clerk</u>	<u>Court Reporter / Recorder</u>	<u>Tape No.</u>

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: None Present Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None Present

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

The Court, on its own motion, hereby ORDERS plaintiff's counsel, to show cause in writing no later than **July 21, 2014**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution for failure to file a joint report (FRCivP 26). As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff, the Court will consider the filing of one of the following, as an appropriate response to this OSC, on or before the above date:

X Filing of the parties joint report (FRCivP 26)

Absent a showing of good cause, an action shall be dismissed if the summons and complaint have not been served upon all defendants within 120 days after the filing of the complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (m). The Court may dismiss the action prior to the expiration of such time, however, if plaintiffs have not diligently prosecuted the action.

It is plaintiff's responsibility to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiffs must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon the default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court. Local Rule 8.3.

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date upon which a response by plaintiffs is due.

: 0

Initials of Preparer mu